Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 19

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07) EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)	
(Instructions on Reverse)		(court ese emy)	
PLAINTIFFS	DEFEND	ANTS	
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)		
PARTY (Check One Box Only)	PARTY (Check One Box Only)		
□ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin	☐ Debtor ☐ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin		
□ Creditor □ Other	□ Creditor	□ Other	
☐ Trustee  CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE	□ Trustee		
NATURE (			
(Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1	, first alternat	ive cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)	
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property		6) – Dischargeability (continued)	
11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference	61-Dischargeability - §523(a)(5), domestic support 68-Dischargeability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury		
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer	63-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(8), withful and mancious injury		
14-Recovery of money/property - other	64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support)		
FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien  □ 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	65-Dischargeability - other		
FRBP 7001(3) - Approval of Sale of Property	FRBP 7001(7) – Injunctive Relief  ☐ 71-Injunctive relief – imposition of stay		
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	72-Injunctive relief – other		
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge  41-Objection / revocation of discharge - \$727(c),(d),(e)	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest  81-Subordination of claim or interest		
EDDD 7004/5) D	□ 61-3u00	difficultion of claim of interest	
FRBP 7001(5) − Revocation of Confirmation  51-Revocation of confirmation	FRBP 7001(9) Declaratory Judgment  91-Declaratory judgment		
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability	FRBP 7001(1	(0) Determination of Removed Action	
☐ 66-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims ☐ 62-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation,		rmination of removed claim or cause	
actual fraud	Other	C 15 U.S.C. 9970 4	
67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny	_	. Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et.seq</i> .  • (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court	
(continued next column)		related to bankruptcy case)	
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law		this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23	
☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$		
Other Relief Sought			

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 19

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES					
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.			
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
RELATED A	PROCEEDING (IF ANY)				
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	Γ	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.		
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN	IG	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)					
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE	Y (OR PLAINTIFF)		

#### **INSTRUCTIONS**

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, \*unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

**Plaintiffs** and **Defendants.** Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

**Attorneys.** Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

**Demand.** Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

**Signature.** This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

\*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067-4100
Telephone: (310) 277-6910

Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36<sup>th</sup> Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 561-7700
Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679) TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 783-8300 Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re:	) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,	) Chapter 11
Debtors.	) (Jointly Administered)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING TRUST,	) ) Adv. Pro. No. 10
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
NAVARRE DISTRIBUTION SERVICES, INC.,	)
Defendant.	) )

THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS AND OTHER AMOUNTS OWING TO THE ESTATE AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NOS. 1242, 1253 AND 6573

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 19

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan"), for his complaint and objection to Proof of Claim No. 1242, 1253 and 6573 (the "Complaint") against Navarre Distribution Services, Inc. ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

and owing from Defendant to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. In addition to contractual claims, the Trustee seeks recovery for unjust enrichment and to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred during the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy proceedings, as well as turnover of property of the bankruptcy estates. Finally, the Trustee objects to Defendant's proofs of claim filed against the Debtors' estates.

#### THE PARTIES

2. The Trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trust"). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors' estates.

- 3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively "Circuit City" or the "Debtors") were corporations that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Minnesota with its principal place of business in New Hope, Minnesota.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
  - 6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
- 7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 541, 542, 547, 550, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard. Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 19

#### PERTINENT FACTS

#### A. General Case Background

- 9. On November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.
- 10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee").
- 11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors' retail locations (the "Stores") pursuant to an agency agreement (the "Agency Agreement") between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the "Agent"). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors' stores were completed.
- 12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 13. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.
  - 14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the "Effective Date").

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 19

#### B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City and Defendant

- 15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.
- 16. Prior to the Petition Date, Circuit City and Defendant engaged in numerous transactions that are reflected in invoices, communications and other documents (collectively, the "Defendant's Agreements").
- 17. Circuit City purchased goods from the Defendant pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements.
- 18. Circuit City and Defendant conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date under the Defendant's Agreements.
- 19. During the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the "Books and Records").

### C. Amounts Allegedly Owed to Defendant and Defendant's Proofs of Claim

#### (1) <u>Claim No. 1242</u>

20. On or about December 18, 2008, Defendant filed a claim in the amount \$541,432.33 as a claim allegedly entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as Claim No. 1242.

- 21. In Claim No. 1242, Defendant alleges that the claim was based on the sales of certain goods by Defendant to Circuit City during the twenty-day period prior to the Petition Date.
- 22. Pursuant to the Court's *Order on Debtors' Thirty-Ninth Omnibus*Objection [Docket No. 5829], Claim No. 1242 has been modified so that it now consists of a priority claim under Bankruptcy Code §503(b)(9) in the amount of \$520,979.10 (the "Claim 1242 Priority Claim") and a general unsecured claim in the amount of \$20,453.23 (the "Claim 1242 General Unsecured Claim").

#### (2) <u>Claim No. 1253</u>

- 23. On or about December 18, 2008, Defendant filed a claim in the amount \$3,872,983.60 as a claim allegedly entitled to priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as Claim No. 1253.
- 24. In Claim No. 1253, Defendant alleges that the claim was based on the sales of certain goods by Defendant to Circuit City during the twenty-day period prior to the Petition Date.
- 25. Pursuant to the Court's *Order on Debtors' Twentieth Omnibus Objection* [Docket No. 4576], Claim No. 1253 has been modified so that it now consists of a priority claim under Bankruptcy Code §503(b)(9) in the amount of \$2,629,901.25 (the "Claim 1253 Priority Claim") and a general unsecured claim in the amount of \$1,243,082.35 (the "Claim 1253 General Unsecured Claim").

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 19

# (3) <u>Claim No. 6573</u>

- 26. On or about January 28, 2009, Defendant filed Claim No. 6573.
- 27. Claim No. 6573 was filed (i) in the amount of \$1,491,727.28 as a general unsecured, non-priority claim and (ii) in the amount of \$541,432.33 as a priority claim under the Bankruptcy Code \$503(b)(2).
- 28. Claim No. 6573 was filed on account of goods and services allegedly provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date.
- 29. Pursuant to the Court's *Order on Debtor's Twenty-Third Omnibus*Objection [Docket No. 4465] and the Proposed Settlement Agreement and Stipulation among the Debtors, Symantec Corporation, and Defendant [Docket No. 6970], Claim No. 6573 has been modified so that it now consists solely of a general unsecured claim in the amount of \$723,656.86.

#### D. Amounts Owed to Circuit City by Defendant

- 30. As of the date hereof, on account of the pre- and post-petition business conducted between Defendant and Circuit City pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements or otherwise, Defendant is indebted to Circuit City on account of chargebacks in the amount of \$1,313,270.33 and returns in the amount of \$2,028.00, totaling at least \$1,315,298.33 (the "Unpaid Obligations").
- 31. The Unpaid Obligations are due, owing, and payable by Defendant to Circuit City.

#### E. Transfers to Defendant Made Within 90 Days Prior to the Petition Date

- 32. During the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy cases (the "Preference Period"), Circuit City transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendant in an amount not less than \$7,771,338.73. A list identifying each and every transfer during the Preference Period is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the "Preferential Transfers").
- 33. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Preferential Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which the Defendant bears the burden of proof under Section 547(g). Plaintiff will work with Defendant to exchange applicable information in an effort to resolve any and all factual issues with respect to potential defenses.

#### **COUNT I**

## AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 547(b)

- 34. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. During the Preference Period, Defendant was a creditor of one or more of the Debtors.
- 36. Each Preferential Transfer identified on Exhibit A hereto was made to or for the benefit of Defendant.
- 37. Each Preferential Transfer was made for or on account of an antecedent debt or debts owed by one or more of the Debtors before such Preferential Transfers were made.
  - 38. Each Preferential Transfer was made during the Preference Period.

- 39. Each Preferential Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent.
- 40. Each Preferential Transfer enabled Defendant to receive more than Defendant would have received if (i) the Debtors' chapter 11 cases were instead cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the transfers and/or payments had not been made; and (iii) Defendant received payment on account of the debt paid by the Preferential Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.
- 41. Each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b).

#### **COUNT II**

### RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 550

- 42. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein
- 43. Defendant was either (i) the initial transferee of the Preferential Transfers, (ii) the entity for whose benefit the Preferential Transfers were made, or (iii) an immediate or mediate transferee of the Preferential Transfers.
- 44. Each Preferential Transfer that is avoided under Section 547(b) is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 45. Subject to potential defenses, the Trustee is entitled to recover the value of the Preferential Transfers pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550(a).

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 19

#### **COUNT III**

#### **BREACH OF CONTRACT**

- 46. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 47. Pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, Defendant is obligated to pay to the Debtors the Unpaid Obligations as and for chargebacks and returns in connection with goods previously delivered by Defendants to Debtors under the Defendant's Agreements, as set forth in Paragraph 30, supra.
- 48. The Defendant's Agreements are valid and enforceable agreements against Defendant.
- 49. The Debtors performed their obligations under the Defendant's Agreements with respect to the Unpaid Obligations.
- 50. Defendant's failure to compensate the Debtors for the Unpaid Obligations constitutes a material breach of Defendant's obligations under the Defendant's Agreements.
- 51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breaches, the Debtors incurred damages in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33.
- 52. Accordingly, the Trustee is entitled to a judgment against Defendant in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 19

#### **COUNT IV**

## UNJUST ENRICHMENT/QUASI CONTRACT

- 53. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 54. In the alternative to Count III, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count IV.
- 55. The Debtors conferred a benefit upon Defendant pursuant to the Defendant's Agreements, by agreeing to purchase various goods from Defendant pursuant thereto.
  - 56. Defendant knowingly accepted the benefit conferred by the Debtors.
- 57. The Debtors reasonably expected to be compensated by Defendant in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33, on account of the benefit conferred upon Defendant.
- 58. Defendant's receipt of benefit without just compensation to the Debtors has unjustly enriched Defendant in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33.
- 59. The Trustee has no adequate remedy at law to recover the Unpaid Obligations.
- 60. Accordingly, as a result of Defendant's unjust enrichment at the Debtors' expense, the Trustee is entitled to restitution from the Defendant in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 14 of 19

#### **COUNT V**

#### TURNOVER OF PROPERTY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 542

- 61. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 62. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count V.
- 63. Defendant is in possession, custody, and control of the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33.
  - 64. Defendant is not a custodian for the Unpaid Obligations.
- 65. The Unpaid Obligations constitute valid and existing debts, due and owing by Defendant to the Debtors.
- 66. The Unpaid Obligations are property of the Debtors' estates under section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code and constitute debts that are matured, payable on demand, or payable on order.
- 67. Despite being requested to do so, Defendant has not turned over or paid to Circuit City the Unpaid Obligations.
- 68. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 542, Defendant should be compelled to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 19

#### **COUNT VI**

# **OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 1242, 1253 AND 6573 – DISALLOWANCE UNDER 11** U.S.C. § **502(d)**

- 69. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 70. Claim Nos. 1242, 1253 and 6573 assert a right to payment allegedly owed by one or more of the Debtors.
- 71. As alleged above, each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b), which is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 72. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502(d), Claim Nos. 1242, 1253 and 6573 must be disallowed unless and until Defendant pays to the Trustee an amount equal to each Preferential Transfer that is avoided.

#### **COUNT VII**

## OBJECTION TO CLAIM NOS. 1242 AND 1253 AS UNWARRANTED/OVERSTATED

- 73. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 74. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim Nos. 1242 and 1253 are unwarranted/overstated in that (i) the Claim 1242 Priority Claim is overstated by \$473,704.18 on account of prepayments, quantity shortages, pricing discrepancies and invoices included in a post-petition payment to Defendant pursuant to the DIP Order [Docket No. 1262], (ii) the Claim 1242 General Unsecured Claim is overstated by

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9362 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 15:21:38 Desc Main Document Page 16 of 19

\$4,560.71 on account of pricing discrepancies, quantity shortages and a prepayment overpayment, (iii) the Claim 1253 Priority Claim is overstated by \$2,629,901.25 on the basis that this claim is for consignment product that was included in a post-petition payment to Defendant pursuant to the DIP Order and (iv) the Claim 1253 General Unsecured Claim is overstated by \$1,243,082.35 on the basis that this claim is for consignment product that was included in a post-petition payment to Defendant pursuant to the DIP Order.

75. As a result of the above, the Claim Nos. 1242 and 1253 should be entirely disallowed and/or reduced by the above-specified overstated amounts.

#### **COUNT VIII**

# OBJECTION TO CLAIM NOS. 1242 AND 6573 – SETOFF OF THE UNPAID OBLIGATIONS

- 76. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 77. In the alternative to Counts III, IV and V, but without waiving any allegation with respect thereto, the Trustee makes the following additional allegations in support of Count VIII.
- 78. The Unpaid Obligations arose from business transactions between the Debtors and Defendant.
  - 79. The Unpaid Obligations are debts owed by Defendant to the Debtors.
- 80. Claim Nos. 1242 and 6573 also arose from business transactions between Defendant and the Debtors, and is a debt allegedly owed by the Debtors to Defendant.

- 81. Consequently, under applicable law, the Debtors have established valid setoff rights.
- 82. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 558, the Debtors' defenses, including setoff, are preserved.
- 83. Under applicable law, the Unpaid Obligations should be setoff first against Claim No. 1242 until it is reduced to \$0 and then against Claim No. 6573 until it is reduced to \$0.
- 84. After setoff, the Unpaid Obligations should be reduced to \$528,474.03 (the "Remaining Unpaid Obligations").

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

- i. Pursuant to Counts I and II, enter judgment against Defendant under 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550 and allow the Trustee to avoid and recover the Preferential Transfers in an amount not less than \$7,771,338.73; and
- ii. Pursuant to Count III, enter judgment against Defendant for breach of contract and award the Trustee damages in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33; and
- iii. In the alternative to Count III, pursuant to Count IV, enter judgment against Defendant for unjust enrichment and award Circuit City restitution damages on account of Defendant's unjust enrichment in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33; and
- iv. In the alternative to Counts III and IV, pursuant to Count V, order Defendant to immediately turn over and deliver to the Trustee the Unpaid Obligations in an amount not less than \$1,315,298.33; and
- v. Pursuant to Count VI, disallow Claim Nos. 1242, 1253 and 6573 in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending payment by Defendant to the Trustee of any avoidable and recoverable transfers; and
- vi. Pursuant to Count VII, reduce Claim No. 1242 from the overstated amount of \$521,432.33 to \$63,167.44 and Claim No. 1253 from the overstated amount of \$4,872,983.60 to \$0; and

- vii. In the alternative to Counts III, IV and V, pursuant to Count VIII, authorize the Trustee to effect setoffs against Claim Nos. 1242 and 6573, reducing both claims to \$0; and
- viii. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate;
  - ix. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and
- x. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia November 10, 2010 TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Lynn L. Tavenner

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679) 20 North Eighth Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 (310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq. John A. Morris, Esq. PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 780 Third Avenue, 36<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10017 (212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

# **EXHIBIT A**

# (Preferential Transfers)

	Check Clear		
Vendor Name	Date	Check Number	Paid Amount
NAVARRE	8/18/2008	522478	\$ 183,933.11
NAVARRE	8/19/2008	522484	\$ 89,279.00
NAVARRE	8/25/2008	522506	\$ 12,456.26
NAVARRE	8/26/2008	522511	\$ 152,026.91
NAVARRE	8/26/2008	522519	\$ 19,485.74
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	8/26/2008	1034021	\$ 98,332.71
NAVARRE	8/27/2008	522528	\$ 4,318.30
NAVARRE	9/4/2008	522543	\$ 147.83
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	9/4/2008	1034248	\$ 72,234.23
NAVARRE	9/9/2008	522558	\$ 29,098.74
NAVARRE	9/9/2008	522574	\$ 35,812.02
NAVARRE	9/9/2008	522594	\$ 17,982.43
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	9/9/2008	1034472	\$ 143,549.42
NAVARRE DISTRIBUTION	9/9/2008	1034497	\$ 12,048.93
NAVARRE	9/10/2008	522604	\$ 22,073.54
NAVARRE	9/16/2008	522638	\$ 30,844.22
NAVARRE	9/16/2008	522646	\$ 3,992.87
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	9/16/2008	1034886	\$ 162,864.63
NAVARRE	9/22/2008	522669	\$ 13,604.03
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	9/23/2008	1035297	\$ 138,677.72
NAVARRE	9/25/2008	522729	\$3,247,684.82
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	9/25/2008	1035684	\$ 126,277.95
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	9/30/2008	1035850	\$ 1,232.49
NAVARRE	10/2/2008	522776	\$ 290,275.46
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	10/2/2008	1036039	\$ 204,616.87
NAVARRE	10/6/2008	523107	365793.84
NAVARRE DISTRIBUTION	10/6/2008	1036088	\$ 98,411.88
NAVARRE	10/7/2008	522793	\$ 41,563.68
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	10/9/2008	1036390	\$ 202,022.94
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	10/16/2008	1036732	\$ 231,171.48
NAVARRE	10/27/2008	523102	1016375.98
NAVARRE	10/27/2008	523107	\$ 564,945.78
NAVARRE DISTRIBUTION	10/27/2008	1038129	108523.61
NAVARRE CONSIGNMENT	11/6/2008	1037346	\$ 29,679.31

Total Check

**Amount** \$7,771,338.73